

#5
10-31-02

Express Mail Label No. EL844511937US
Attorney's Docket No.: S1022/8587

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Andrew COFLER, Laurent WOJCIESZAK, Arnaud DEHAMEL and Isabelle SENAM
Serial No.: 09/748,075
Filed: December 22, 2000
For: A COMPUTER SYSTEM WITH DEBUG FACILITY

Examiner: Unassigned
Art Unit: 2182

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

10/02/269
12/13/01

STATEMENT FILED PURSUANT TO THE DUTY OF
DISCLOSURE UNDER 37 CFR §§1.56, 1.97 AND 1.98

Sir:

Pursuant to the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. §§1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, the Applicant requests consideration of this Information Disclosure Statement.

Compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97

This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits in the above-identified case. No fee or certification is required.

Information Cited

The Applicant hereby makes of record in the above-identified application the information listed on the attached form PTO-1449 (modified). The order of presentation of the references should not be construed as an indication of the importance of the references.

The Applicant hereby makes the following additional information of record in the above-identified application. The examiner's attention is hereby drawn to the attached Standard Search Report.

The applicant would like to bring to the Examiner's attention the following co-pending applications that may contain subject matter related to this application:

<u>Serial No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Title of Application</u>
09/748,762	December 22, 2000	MEMORY ACCESS DEBUG FACILITY
09/748,763	December 22, 2000	COMPUTER REGISTER WATCH

REMARKS

Documents cited on the attached form PTO-1449 (modified) are enclosed unless otherwise indicated on the attached form PTO-1449 (modified). It is respectfully requested that:

1. The Examiner consider completely the cited information, along with any other information, in reaching a determination concerning the patentability of the present claims;
2. The enclosed form PTO-1449 be signed by the Examiner to evidence that the cited information has been fully considered by the Patent and Trademark Office during the examination of this application;
3. The citations for the information be printed on any patent which issues from this application.

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that a search has been performed, of the extent of any search performed, or that more relevant information does not exist.

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that the information cited in the Statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(b).

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, the Applicant makes no representation that the information cited in the Statement is, or is considered to be, in fact, prior art as defined by 35 U.S.C. §102.

Notwithstanding any statements by the Applicant, the Examiner is urged to form his own conclusion regarding the relevance of the cited information.

An early and favorable action is hereby requested.


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this document is being placed in the United States mail with first-class postage attached, addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on October 26, 2001.



Attorney Docket No.: S1022/8587
X12/11/01

Respectfully submitted,



James H. Morris
Reg. No.: 34,681
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
Tel. (617) 720-3500

FORM PTO-1449/A and B (Modified)				APPLICATION NO.: 09/748,762		ATTY. DOCKET NO.: S1022/8587	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				FILING DATE: December 22, 2000			
				APPLICANT: Andrew Cofler, Isabelle Senname And Bruno Bernard			
				GROUP ART UNIT: 2183		EXAMINER:	
Sheet	1	of	1				

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials#	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication or of issue of Cited Document MM-DD-YY
		Number	Kind Code		
		5,430,862		Smith et al.	07/04/95
		5,404,496		Burroughs et al.	04/04/95
		5,857,094		Nemirovsky	01/05/99
		5,544,311		Harenberg et al.	08/06/96
		5,644,703		Kurakazu et al.	07/01/97
		5,564,041		Matsui et al.	10/08/96

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials #	Cite No.	Foreign Patent Document			Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document (not necessary)	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YY	Translation (Y/N)
		Office/Country	Number	Kind Code			
		EP	0 667 576	A	Fujita et al.	08/16/95	
		EP	0 943 995	A	Matt et al.	09/22/99	
		EP	0 869 434	A	Yano et al.	10/07/98	

OTHER ART -- NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials #	Cite No	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS) title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, relevant page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation (Y/N)
		Search Report from the British Patent Office for British Application No. 9930589.8, filed December 23, 1999	
		Standard Search Report from related British application No. 9930588.0, filed December 23, 1999	
		Standard Search Report from related British Application No. 9930590.6, filed December 23, 1999	

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
----------	-----------------

#EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

*a copy of this reference is not provided as it was previously cited by or submitted to the office in a prior application, Serial No. _____, filed _____, and relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 (continuation, continuation-in-part, and divisional applications).

[NOTE - Must provide a copy of any patent, publication, other information listed, even if it was previously submitted to, or cited by, the U.S. Patent Office in an earlier application, unless the earlier application is identified by the IDS and is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. §120, and the copy was provided in the earlier application.]